

FREE
Issue #66
2026-05

truth · love · peace · freedom

DRUTHERS

Est. 2020

People
Powered
Press

The Quiet Transfer of Canada

By Shawn Buckley | Substack.com/@ShawnBuckleyLaw

Most Canadians have never heard of UNDRIP, The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples. It is a treaty that the federal government signed on behalf of Canadians without any meaningful public debate, without any referendum, and without any honest disclosure of what it actually obligates Canada to do. What this treaty requires is so consequential that if Canadians understood it clearly, there would be a national conversation that those in power have no interest in having.

At its core, UNDRIP obligates signatory nations to vest property ownership back into the hands of the Indigenous Peoples who preceded European colonization. In British Columbia, we are already watching this play out in real time. The federal government has moved to transfer land in the Vancouver metro area to the Musqueam Nation. We are talking about a band with fewer than 2,000 members receiving jurisdiction over land that is home to approximately 2 million Canadians. The government has not released the terms of the agreement. Canadians are being asked to accept a seismic shift in property rights without being permitted to read the document that governs it.

To understand why this is happening, you have to understand the legal architecture underneath it. The King of the United Kingdom owns all land in Canada. The King has allodial ownership. Allodial ownership is ownership of land free from a superior landlord. The King owns your land and is your superior landlord. Even when you pay off your mortgage, you do not own your land. What you hold is called a fee simple, which is a grant from the King permitting you to use the land without restriction. The King remains the owner. The King remains your superior landlord.

That is why the King can take your land, such as through expropriation. That is why the King can put restrictions on your land. That is why the King can grant to others property rights to land you think you own, but do not own. UNDRIP is a treaty obligation on the King to transfer ownership of most of our populated areas to Indigenous Peoples.

In 2021, Parliament passed the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples Act. This Act commits the Government of Canada to fully implement the UNDRIP treaty. In other words, this Act legally binds the Government of Canada to transfer ownership of all parts of Canada traditionally used or occupied by Indigenous Peoples to Indigenous Peoples. This is most, or all, of populated Canada.

Article 26 of the UNDRIP treaty reads:

1. Indigenous peoples have the right to the lands, territories and resources which they have traditionally owned, occupied or otherwise used or acquired.
2. Indigenous peoples have the right to own, use, develop and control the lands, territories and resources that they possess by reason of traditional ownership or other traditional occupation or use, as

the UNDRIP obligations.

The human consequences of what is already happening in British Columbia are enormous and almost entirely undiscussed. Property owners in the Lower Mainland do not know whether they will be able to refinance their homes. They do not know whether new mortgages will be issued on land whose title is now in legal uncertainty.

They do not know whether the band will lease the land back to them, charge them rent, or eventually require them to leave. Commercial properties face the same uncertainty. The potential financial destabilization this creates for British Columbia, and potentially for Canada as a whole, is staggering. And it was done without a single public vote. It was done in secret.

There is a deeper question worth considering. Even if you accept the premise that the history of colonization was exploitative and that Indigenous Peoples were wronged, the question of remedy still demands honest debate. Is transferring ownership over land that has been "owned" and used in some cases for centuries, an appropriate remedy?

We have built this nation on property rights and ownership. Is it proper to completely undermine this foundation as the remedy? Does this path risk so impoverishing Canada that all of us are much worse off, including the Indigenous Peoples?

What are the consequences for Canada if all property currently owned is transferred to Indigenous Peoples?

These are questions we should be debating.

Why are we not having a public discussion about this? Why is this happening in secret? No party in Parliament is telling us about this issue, which has the potential to reshape this nation more than any other issue.

I've been hosting and speaking at events on this topic, helping Canadians understand where their rights are vulnerable and what they can do to protect them.

The best way to stay updated on these topics (or to attend our next in-person event) is to subscribe to my Substack newsletter or follow me on X at x.com/Shawnbuckleylaw

Originally published at
substack.com/@shawnbuckleylaw

Shawn Buckley is a Canadian constitutional lawyer (31 years); President, Natural Health Products Protection Association (nhppa.org; charterofhealthfreedom.org); Founding Member and Lead Counsel, nationalcitizensinquiry.ca.



well as those which they have otherwise acquired.

3. States shall give legal recognition and protection to these lands, territories and resources. Such recognition shall be conducted with due respect to the customs, traditions and land tenure systems of the indigenous peoples concerned.

An important point to recognize is that UNDRIP is not limited to unceded land. Many in Canada think that they are safe from having indigenous property rights being imposed on their property because they live where land was ceded by treaty to the King. This is an error. UNDRIP is not restricted to unceded land.

It applies to all land traditionally owned, occupied, or otherwise used by Indigenous Peoples, ceded or uncaded. The Government of Canada has committed itself to transferring ownership of most, if not all, of populated Canada.

We can also expect that Courts will start treating ceded land as uncaded land. The legal argument that will follow the uncaded territory decisions in British Columbia is predictable. The next step will be to challenge the validity of the treaties ceding land to the King.

The argument will be that Indigenous Peoples did not understand the European legal concept of land ownership, that they had no framework for what they were surrendering, and therefore those treaties are invalid. If that argument succeeds, and there is a reasonable legal basis for it, then virtually all of Canada becomes uncaded territory subject to the imposition of indigenous title, completely independent of



THE NEWS AND INFORMATION
"THEY" DON'T WANT YOU TO SEE.

by the people,
for the people

SUBSCRIBE TODAY!

DRUTHERS.ca



MADE IN CANADA.
FOCUSED ON CANADA.
FOR CANADIANS.

Will Freedom Become Irrelevant in Canada?

By Gerald Heinrichs

Canadians no longer have a thirst for freedom, says American writer Michael Malice. Referring to Canada's 2025 election, Malice stated, "If Canadians wanted freedom, Carney would have campaigned on it."

Canada today is certainly different from 1982. That was the year that the Charter of Rights and Freedoms became part of Canada's constitution. **Everyone was talking about freedom then.** Prime Minister Pierre Trudeau boasted that **Canadians stood on a mountaintop of freedom.** His predecessor, Lester Pearson, spoke to the world when he received his Nobel Peace Prize. He declared, "There can be no enduring and creative peace if people are unfree."


But **something changed in recent years.** One theory claims it is fallout from the COVID-19 pandemic. So many things were prohibited then. US Supreme Court Justice Samuel Alito called it a period of **"previously unimaginable restrictions on individual liberty."**

Another theory blames the **rise of cancel culture**, or illiberalism, in academia and popular activist causes like **DEI and gender ideology.** Author Douglas Murray describes it as "The Madness of Crowds," while Elon Musk calls it the "woke mind virus."

As these things carried on, one might argue, **Canada's love of freedom was worn down.**

According to Victor Habib, Western countries are entering a new era. The UK politician says that Western politics is no longer "between left and right." He says we are witnessing a **new battle scene between those who value freedom, and those who don't** and instead support "anti-democratic institutions." Many **Western leaders**, he says, now brush aside their citizens and instead **align with globalist ideals** like the World Economic Forum (WEF), the World Health Organization (WHO), and the European Union (EU). **A battle is unfolding between freedom and control**, he says.

An *Epoch Times* editorial also claims that **these globalist groups have little care for individual freedom.** Instead, the article says, their ideology seeks "control of all the world's nations" and to **"eliminate private property, sovereign states, distinctive races, and the**



traditional culture of each nation."

If Victor Habib is right, then Canada's Liberal government is choosing the anti-freedom side. One example is Prime Minister **Carney's steadfast support for globalist organizations.** He praises them as "collective problem solving." In his January 2026 address to the WEF, Carney stated, "It's a pleasure—and a duty—to be with you."

If freedom becomes irrelevant, it also becomes easy to admire dictatorships. So another example is Carney's choice of **creating stronger ties with countries like**

China and Qatar—countries that have terrible freedom scores with organizations like Freedom House. Nonetheless, in January, Carney proudly announced **"new strategic partnerships"** with these nations.

Another example lies in the **Liberal government's indifference to the Federal Court of Appeal's rebuke of its use of the Emergencies Act** in February 2022. More than illegal, the action was, arguably, the worst failure by a democratic government. It was an awful thing that Canadians never before witnessed. It was **"breaking the most supreme law,"** says MP Andrew Lawton.

But should Canadians care about loss of freedom? Immanuel Kant stated, **"Freedom is the alone, unoriginated birthright of man."** And Martin Luther King Jr. said, **"The absence of freedom is the presence of death."** So, if freedom is the hope of every heart, then its decline is a serious injury.

Ronald Reagan said, **"Freedom is never more than one generation away from extinction."** If that is true, then Canadians, who are entering their second decade of Liberal Party rule, need a freedom revival soon.

Gerald Heinrichs is a lawyer in Regina, Saskatchewan.

Ideology Is the Weapon

By Douglas Jack

Most people are completely unaware that, for the last 113 years, the world has been in a constant series of civil wars, invasions, occupations, and two back-to-back world wars. WWI and WWII are the most widely known wars, but the casualties from genocides in places like Burma and North Africa are argued by some to rival or exceed those totals—yet almost no one talks about them. The civil war in Burma has been going on for decades. Millions have been slaughtered, and yet we continue to give billions to the same regimes responsible for it.

War hasn't stopped. It's just been normalized. Traditional kinetic warfare has evolved at such an unsustainable rate that the resources required to main-

tain military superiority are collapsing the very economies meant to support it. The theatre of war has had to "renovate" itself—expanding its infrastructure and capacity to sustain larger and more complex conflicts—while our adversaries are switching to less expensive non-kinetic warfare: ideological warfare.

To fill the ranks in any traditional military requires a lot of people. They need to be trained, fed, housed, armed, and educated. On top of that, there has to be enforcement—laws and the threat of prosecution if those highly trained personnel defect or leak sensitive information. That implies that either high salaries or devout patriotism are needed to attract them. Either way, the cost is obvious—and massive. Right now, as

See 'Ideological Warfare' p.3

FREEDOM WINS!

- The Supreme Court of Nova Scotia has struck down the province's sweeping 2025 ban on entering the woods, ruling that the government acted unreasonably and failed to consider Charter rights. The decision follows a constitutional challenge by the Justice Centre for Constitutional Freedoms on behalf of Canadian Armed Forces veteran Jeffrey Evelyn, who was fined \$28,872.50 for walking in the woods under the province's blanket prohibition.

- Pfizer and BioNTech have been forced to halt their clinical trial for their updated COVID shot after failing to recruit enough participants. The shutdown signals a growing reluctance among healthy populations to participate in ongoing booster campaigns.

- Republican Governor Brad Little of Idaho has signed a law that will prohibit businesses, schools and government entities from directing most medical mandates, including vaccination requirements.

- A physician fired by the Northern Health Authority in BC for declining a COVID-19 shot—citing prior heart issues—has been awarded nearly \$390,000 in arbitration. Dr. Jannie du Plessis, 73, cited avoiding the jab due to a 2019 heart attack and bypass surgery.

- An unnamed leading tech firm has agreed to pay \$15 million after the US Equal Employment Opportunity Commission found reasonable cause that workers were denied religious and disability-based vaccine exemptions and, in some cases, were terminated.

- A pushback is underway in Ottawa as Tamara Jansen introduces Bill C-218, the Right to Recover, aiming to block the expansion of assisted suicide to those suffering solely from mental illness. With the policy change slated for March 2027, this bill represents a critical opportunity to reaffirm that people in crisis should be met with care, treatment, and support—not abandonment.

- After being sued by *The Daily Wire*, *The Federalist*, and the State of Texas, the US State Department has agreed to a settlement that bars it from funding, promoting, or using tools to suppress or "fact-check" Americans' lawful speech. The deal also blocks coordination with foreign governments and NGOs to do the same, closing a major loophole critics warned could be used to bypass constitutional protections.

- According to a recent *Politico* poll, vaccine risk awareness in the US is widespread. Nearly half of US adults think the science on vaccines is up for debate and that vaccine mandates are damaging. A separate poll by Zogby Strategies found strong support (80-88%) among registered voters for core health freedoms, including the right to refuse medical treatment and make personal healthcare decisions.

- Supply chain disruptions tied to the US-Iran conflict are forcing several American cities to reduce fluoride levels in public water. Israel is one of the world's top exporters of fluorosilicic acid, according to the US Environmental Protection Agency, and the United States ranks among the top five importers.

- One of the most influential firms on Wall Street, Goldman Sachs, has dropped diversity-based criteria from its board selection process—removing factors like race, gender identity, and sexual orientation from consideration. The shift comes amid growing pressure from investors, customers, and advocacy groups calling for a return to merit-based decision-making in corporate governance.

- Alberta's new classroom "neutrality" law—Bill 25—bans "specialty flags," allowing only the flags of Canada and Alberta in schools. The Education Minister says classrooms should focus on education, not activism.

- The Alberta government has announced plans to implement changes across public libraries to prevent minors from accessing material

containing graphic sexual images. The bill promises not to ban books in libraries but to take steps to make sure children younger than 16 can't access explicit visual depictions of sex.

- A Japanese study (*Iwata et al.*) suggests a new, less toxic path for cancer treatment may be on the horizon. Scientists have discovered a powerful anti-cancer compound in the gut microbiota of Japanese tree frogs (*E. Americana*). The compound eliminated tumours in mice with a single dose and minimal side effects.

- The largest real-world analysis to date evaluating anti-parasitic therapies ivermectin and mebendazole in human cancer patients has been completed with positive results. The study (*Hulscher et al.*) found that one in three patients had "no evidence of disease" after just six months of treatment! Additionally, half of all patients saw either tumour regression or stabilization.

- A school counsellor fired for speaking to a journalist about her district's gender identity policy has reached a legal settlement after challenging her dismissal. Represented by Alliance Defending Freedom, longtime educator Kathy McCord secured a \$195,000 payout from the South Madison Community School Corporation.

- General Mills announced that it has removed certified synthetic colours from all of its products for K-12 schools, and will remove them from its full US retail portfolio by the end of next year.

- Parents in America won't lose custody of their children solely for their beliefs or acknowledging biological sex. The Trump administration has issued guidance to all 50 states clarifying that child welfare agencies cannot remove children from their homes solely because parents decline to affirm a child's self-identification as the opposite sex. Citing federal law under the *Child Abuse Prevention and Treatment Act*, officials emphasized that intervention must be based on clear evidence of abuse or serious harm—not ideological disagreements.

Are Farmers Safe in Canada?

By Lauren Heisler | NationalCitizensInquiry.ca

On March 9-11, 2026, the National Citizens Inquiry held public hearings in Kelowna, British Columbia, on the question, "Are Farmers Safe in Canada?" During the three days, farmers, ranchers, veterinarians, breeders, lawyers, and other agricultural professionals provided sworn testimony about the realities they face in Canada's agricultural sector. **What emerged was a clear and consistent message: a critical sector of society is in significant distress.**

Across commodities and regions, farmers described being squeezed financially, operationally, and psychologically. **For many, farming is no longer a viable livelihood but a constant calculation of risk—how long they can hold on, and at what cost.** This is not a future concern; this is already happening.

Testimony revealed a regulatory system disconnected from the realities of farming. Policies are complex and often impractical, written far from the fields, barns, and pastures they govern. Farmers are expected to comply, absorb the cost, and adapt, regardless of whether those policies function in practice. **There is little meaningful input before rules are imposed, and little flexibility once they are.**

Killing on Suspicion

Some of the most disturbing testimony revealed that under the Health of Animals Act, the Minister of Agriculture has the authority to set the regulatory framework for disease control. The Act permits a range of measures, including quarantine, testing, and other controls, such as culling. Farmers described how this authority is exercised in practice and the consequences that follow. **Entire flocks or herds are being destroyed not**

because every animal is confirmed sick, but due to "suspected" exposure.

Farmers explained that animals are ordered killed without confirmed infection. Decisions are often based on modelling, proximity, or suspicion, with little opportunity for verification. **Healthy animals are culled alongside suspected cases.** Since the year 2000, the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) has carried out mass livestock culling, which in recent years has occurred on a near-weekly basis in Canada. Hundreds of thousands of birds, cattle, pigs, deer, and more have been destroyed.

Testimony also indicated that once a cull order is



issued, efforts to confirm infection are refused or prohibited. One of the most disturbing accounts came from a Kelowna lawyer, who stated that the CFIA can enter a farm and order the slaughter of livestock based solely on "suspicion," leaving farmers with no meaningful recourse once that decision is made. A sentiment expressed repeatedly during the hearings was: **"What they are doing doesn't make sense."**

For farmers, this is devastation in practice. **Years, sometimes decades, of breeding are erased in a matter of days.** Animals are lost en masse.

Further testimony drew a stark contrast between the United Kingdom's prompt compensation for culled livestock and Canada's often delayed payments, which, when they arrive, fail to reflect what has been lost. Farmers described being expected to absorb these losses and continue, while those who resist faced pressure, threats, or punitive consequences.

Witnesses further emphasized that, rather than prioritizing preventing diseases such as foot-and-mouth disease from entering Canada, the government's focus is on enforcing measures that place the burden squarely on farmers.

Beyond disease control policies, testimony also revealed broader systemic pressures within modern agriculture.

Glyphosate: A System Built on Chemicals

Testimony on glyphosate revealed growing tension. Farmers rely on it; many feel they have little choice. It is embedded in modern agriculture, tied to efficiency, yields, and survival. Yet concerns persist about long-term health effects, residues in food, soil degradation, and the absence of truly independent research. **Farmers are navigating a system built on chemical dependency while grappling with the uncertainty of its long-term consequences.** This raises an important question: if the system itself is uncertain, what does that mean for the safety of the food it produces?

Supply Management and the Cost to Dairy Farmers

Testimony addressed Canada's supply management system and its impact on dairy farmers. Strict production quotas limit how much milk can be produced, regardless of demand. This can lead to dumping when production exceeds quota limits. It was noted that this excess milk could be directed to those in need or exported rather than discarded. Some witnesses stated that Canadian dairy farmers receive less for their product than their counterparts in the United States, despite operating under tighter controls.

ALR Removal and Risk to Adjacent Farms

One witness raised concerns about land removed from the Agricultural Land Reserve (ALR) by the Agricultural Land Commission (ALC), including a neighbouring property that had once been protected. After its removal, construction materials, including drywall, were dumped on the land. This raised con-

cerns about contaminants leaching into surrounding farmland and impacting soil, crops, and long-term viability. **"What gets dumped there doesn't stay there."**

Farmers Are Not Being Heard

One farmer, a livestock consultant with decades of experience and extensive expertise in animal nutrition, who has worked in agricultural education and advised governments, described a breakdown in how information is handled. Farmers provide input to the Canadian Cattlemen's Association, which prepares reports intended to reflect their concerns. However, his testimony stated that these reports are altered by the CFIA before release, reshaped to align with government messaging. **In practice, this amounts to disinformation.** The public receives a version that no longer reflects what farmers reported.

The government is not working for the benefit of farmers but rather to control both the narrative and the people. In effect, farmers are not being heard, and the public is being misled. The witness described this as a captured system.

A System That Treats Farmers as Disposable

A deeper pattern emerged: farmers are not treated as partners in the food system but as operators within it, expected to comply, absorb losses, and continue. Decisions are made with little transparency, and accountability is limited when those decisions cause irreversible harm. **There is little room to question or challenge what is imposed.**

Witnesses exposed a system far less resilient than it appears. Processing is centralized. Access is constrained. Small producers are pushed out. When disruption occurs, few alternatives remain. **Dependence replaces resilience.**

Farmers described stress, burnout, financial pressure, and the emotional toll of losing animals and livelihoods. This is about whether the people who produce the country's food can continue to do so. We heard testimony about farmers who, in response to the mass culling of their livestock, took their own lives out of desperation.

Testimony also revealed that younger generations are increasingly hesitant to enter farming because of mounting uncertainty.

The clearest message was this: **farmers are increasingly excluded from the decisions that shape their future.** Policies are developed without their input. Decisions are imposed on them. Their lived experience is dismissed. The Kelowna hearings provided something rare—a space where they could speak under oath and be heard.

A few farmers who were scheduled to testify and awaiting government compensation for the culling of their livestock or flocks withdrew after being contacted by the CFIA, including one at the last minute. Several others wanted to testify but feared potential repercussions. **This creates a chilling effect on participation, in which fear silences individuals and prevents them from speaking openly.**

Are Farmers Safe in Canada?

After three days of testimony, the answer is **NO.** Farmers operate in a system where their economic survival is uncertain, their autonomy is steadily eroded, their animals can be destroyed without confirmed disease or fair compensation, and their voices are shut out of the decisions that determine their future. **If safety means stability, fairness, and the ability to sustain a livelihood, this system fails.**

If these conditions continue, the consequences will not be confined to farms. Food production will decline, dependence on external supply will increase, and Canada's ability to sustain its population will weaken. **This is not a distant risk; it is already unfolding.**

If the people who produce our food are not protected, not heard, and not supported, this is no longer just a farming issue. **It is a national issue.**

If farmers are not safe, we are not safe.

Watch the hearings at nationalcitizensinquiry.ca/kelowna-2026 or rumble.com/user/ncicanada

The National Citizens Inquiry is independent, citizen-led, citizen-funded, and non-partisan. It exists to preserve sworn citizen testimony under oath as part of the public record. Truth matters.

Ideological Warfare

Continued from p.2



I'm writing this, it seems like one out of every three ads on YouTube is Islamic propaganda, and maybe one out of every hundred ads is for enlisting in the U.S. military—that is a disturbing ratio.

Ideology did for warfare what drones did in Ukraine—it changed the theatre of war. Unlike conventional weapons that require manufacturing, logistics, and ammunition, ideology is insanely cheap to mass-produce and distribute. It scales instantly. And it's attractive. It requires nothing from the person consuming it. No training. No sacrifice. No upfront cost. It simply offers an explanation for their suffering—and tells them who to blame.

Ideologies are nothing more than well-worded guilt trips.

To physically invade another country costs tens to hundreds of billions of dollars. The cost to buy government lobbyists, media, and advertising is in the millions—it's pretty simple math. In Canada, the cost of invoking the Emergencies Act to quell internal dissent is nearing a billion dollars, while lobbying and influencing policy at scale costs only a few hundred thousand. Entire national directions can be shifted without a single shot being fired.

Enlisting people into ideology camps is very cost-effective. There is no need for recruiting stations, barracks, uniforms, or equipment—and they don't have to be paid, as they already have jobs, incomes, and a place to live. Anyone "enlisting" in an ideological camp doesn't have to pay anything up front or sign any paperwork—they just have to believe. And once they do, the real work of ideological combatants is not to fight and die in violent conflict; it is simply to vote.

You don't need to conquer a country if you can convince its people to surrender it themselves.

Digital Currency Would Mean the End of Financial Privacy

By Martin Armstrong | ArmstrongEconomics.com

financial system.


The transition is being rolled out gradually because

The push toward digital currency¹ is being framed as innovation and efficiency, but when you strip away the marketing language, what is unfolding is a structural transformation of the financial system that shifts control away from individuals and concentrates it within governments and central banks. The Bank for International Settlements² has confirmed that more than 90% of central banks are now actively researching, developing, or piloting central bank digital currencies, which is not coincidence or experimentation but a coordinated global direction. This aligns directly with what I have been warning, that when governments face a sovereign debt crisis, they will turn to mechanisms that allow them to monitor and control capital flows because they cannot solve the debt problem through traditional means.

In the United States, more than 95% of transactions are already digital in some form, whether through credit cards, debit systems, Automated Clearing House (ACH) transfers, or mobile payment platforms, which means the infrastructure for surveillance is already largely in place. Cash has not been eliminated yet, but it has been marginalized, and that is the first step because once transactions become digital, every movement of money creates a permanent record. Governments already have the ability to access financial data through banks, but a central bank digital currency removes the intermediary entirely and places that visibility directly within a centralized system controlled by the state.

This is where the real shift takes place because a CBDC is not simply a digital version of existing currency, it is a programmable financial instrument. That means money itself can be controlled, restricted, or directed according to policy decisions. Transactions could be approved or denied in real time, spending could be limited to certain categories, and funds could even be given expiration dates to force consumption. These are not theoretical concerns, as these capabilities have already been discussed openly in central bank reports and demonstrated in pilot programs around the world, including China's digital yuan, which integrates payment systems with state oversight.

The connection to the sovereign debt crisis is critical because governments are reaching a point where they cannot sustain spending without either raising taxes, inflating the currency, or imposing controls on capital. Digital currency provides a mechanism to do all three simultaneously. Real-time taxation becomes possible because transactions can be monitored instantly, eliminating the lag between earning and reporting income. Capital controls can be enforced automatically by restricting transfers, preventing withdrawals, or limiting how funds are used. Inflation can be managed politically by directing spending into specific sectors or suppressing activity in others. This is the level of control that governments have never had before, and it changes the entire structure of the



it cannot be imposed overnight without resistance. Digital systems will continue to coexist with cash and traditional banking for a period of time, but the direction is clear. As digital adoption increases, incentives will be introduced to encourage usage while restrictions on cash will slowly expand. Limits on cash transactions, reporting requirements, and regulatory pressure on banks are all part of this process. Eventually, participation in the digital system becomes not a choice but a necessity because alternatives are either restricted or eliminated.

There is also a geopolitical dimension to this shift because digital currencies can be used to bypass existing financial networks such as SWIFT, allowing countries to conduct transactions outside the traditional Western-dominated system. At the same time, within domestic economies, these systems give governments the ability to enforce policy at the individual level. This creates a dual structure where digital currencies are used externally to avoid sanctions and internally to impose control, and that combination is what makes this development so significant.

What is rarely discussed openly is how this ties into the broader expansion of surveillance. Financial transactions do not exist in isolation; they are connected to identity, location, and behaviour. Once money is fully digital and centrally managed, it becomes possible to integrate financial data with other forms of monitoring, creating a comprehensive view of individual activity.

This is where the line between financial regulation and social control begins to blur, because the same system that tracks spending can also be used to enforce compliance with policies that extend beyond economics.

The issue ultimately comes down to control rather than convenience because while digital systems offer efficiency, they also eliminate anonymity. Cash has always provided a degree of financial privacy because transactions could occur without leaving a trace. Once that disappears, every economic action becomes visible and potentially subject to oversight. That fundamentally alters the relationship between individuals and the state because financial independence is replaced with conditional access to money.

When you look at this within the context of the sovereign debt crisis, the direction becomes clear.

Governments cannot allow capital to move freely when confidence begins to decline, and digital currency provides the mechanism to manage that risk. The ability to track, restrict, and direct financial activity ensures that capital remains within the system and under control. This is not about modernization; it is about maintaining authority in a system that is under increasing strain.

The transition is already underway, and once it reaches a critical mass, reversing it will not be simple because the infrastructure will be embedded in everyday life. The real question is not whether digital currency will be adopted, but how it will be used once it becomes the dominant form of money, because that will determine whether it serves as a tool of efficiency or a mechanism of control.

1. atlanticcouncil.org/cbdctracker
2. bis.org/pub/arpdf/ar2021e3.htm

Originally published at armstrongeconomics.com

A Flashlight Event

By Teresa Buckley | NHPPA.org

There is an old saying: you don't know what you don't know. But once you do know, it is like flashing a light so bright in your eyes that you can hardly stand it.

Since my great awakening six years ago, I have spent most of my days thinking of creative ways to wake people up. That path led me to volunteer in the roles of Executive Director and Communications Director for the National Citizens Inquiry. Now, I volunteer with the Natural Health Product Protection Association (NHPPA).

The NHPPA was established 18 years ago with a mandate to protect our Health Rights, particularly our access to natural and traditional remedies. We serve as the watchdog for the Natural Health Community.

Learning about Natural Health Products (NHPs) has been like discovering a new food group—one I didn't even know existed before.

This past Fall, the NHPPA hosted an online health show called *The World's Greatest Health Show*, broadcast on NHPPA.org/live for three full weeks. We featured speakers—from professionals to everyday Canadians—sharing their personal health journeys.

Their testimonies are powerful. People facing death or severe suffering with no hope through mainstream medicine were saved or found hope through natural remedies.

Many Canadians suffer without hope because they are unaware that solutions exist.

They don't know because, in Canada, it is illegal to share truthful health information. Those who know these life-changing stories, including medical practitioners and natural remedy producers, cannot share them due to penalties—Health Canada fines up to five million dollars daily for sharing the truth.

Fortunately, it is not yet illegal for individuals to share their personal health stories and the impact of NHPs on their lives. That was the purpose of *The World's Greatest Health Show*—to hear uncensored stories. We listened to Canadians.

During the three weeks of the event, we travelled across the country with The Health Charter Tour, organizing live events along the way to raise awareness and interview people. We delivered to Parliament what became the third-largest petition in Canadian history—157,057 handwritten signatures demanding the enactment of the Charter of Health Freedom.¹

There is a distinction between allopathic medicine and traditional medicine. Allopathic medicine is what we are familiar with today. It is a pharmacological-based model that treats the symptoms of an illness. Traditional medicine adopts a more holistic approach and targets the root cause of the illness, aiming for a cure.

Once that light is on, it becomes impossible to ignore what's happening. Canadians from coast to coast are suffering physically, emotionally, and spiritu-

Druthers Community Classifieds

Unlock your brain's full potential with our premium brain food formula. Experience sharper focus, enhanced memory, and peak cognitive performance. Don't let mental fog hold you back—fuel your mind with the nutrition it deserves. Transform your thinking today! Offering True Hope products, with support, with The TTrue Health Care Team <https://shop.truehealthcanada.ca/true-hope-products/Vegan> friendly, Canadian made 1-236-301-6444

LAUNCHING IN MAY: MyBuySearch.com brings clarity to a cluttered marketplace. We scan listings, compare options, and show the best deals fast. Stop searching everywhere. Search once. See everything at MyBuySearch.com

FIND OUT what officials won't tell you about our history in the Bible & its predictions for our national security now. Read *The Death & Resurrection of the West* Foretold in Prophecy, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. First pages & blog free at ralph757.com.

ReMag[®] liquid Pico Ionic Magnesium 100% Absorbable, zero binders, fillers, or synthetics. No Laxative Effect, does not disrupt digestion. Optimizes Energy: Supports ATP creation for sustained endurance and strength. Aids Hydration & Recovery: prevents cramping, fatigue, and muscle breakdown. QUALIFIED GUIDANCE INCL www.shop.true-healthcanada.ca 236-301-6444

NEW BOOK. *Do-it-Yourself HOME CHRISTIANITY: How to Please God and Receive His Help, Without Preachers, Churches, or Money*, by independent, Canadian investigator Ralph T. Kenney. Be ready for whatever comes. First chapter & blog free at ralph757.com. Click white tab on upper right of website.

Man seeking accountability and common sense @ www.Peace-Man.ca

Have your classified printed in 150,000 or more copies and distributed all over Canada. druthers.ca/ads

See 'Two Important Campaigns' p.5

Canada Orders Doctors to Falsify MAiD Death Certificates

Who Benefits and Why is The Truth Being Hidden?

By Donald Best

The government system to euthanize Canadians is running into problems with the language of killing.

From Newfoundland to Alberta, physicians are instructed to erase the state's role in nearly 100,000 MAiD killings. British Columbia alone tells the truth. The question is why everyone else has chosen not to.

The College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario has instructed physicians completing death certificates for patients who die by Medical Assistance in Dying:

"The illness, disease or disability leading to the request for MAiD is to be recorded as the cause of death."

"The certificate cannot include any reference to MAiD or the medications administered."

The College calls them "medications." They are, in practice, a sequence of unregulated injections—typically a sedative to render the patient unconscious, a paralytic agent to stop the lungs, and a drug to arrest the heart. The specific substances, quantities, and combinations are left entirely to the provider. When protocols produce inconsistent outcomes and documented failures, there is another word for that. **Experimental.**

That killing procedure is what the death certificate is forbidden to mention. What it must record instead is the disease—the cancer, the disability, the depression—that led the patient to ask for death in the first place. **But again, how is depression a cause of death? How is disability? How is blindness?**


Canada practices euthanasia, not "assisted suicide." This distinguishes Canada from every American jurisdiction where assisted dying is legal. In Oregon, California, and the other states that permit it, the person must self-administer: drinking the prescribed substance, pushing the button—initiating the act themselves. The state provides the means. The individual initiates the act. Canada rejected that distinction entirely. **Here, the physician administers the injections directly. The state does the killing.**

What Canada calls MAiD (Medical Assistance in Dying) is, by any clinical and legal definition, euthanasia—a word the Canadian government has carefully avoided.

The state administers those injections. The physician is ordered to lie, recording the underlying illness instead of the fatal injections. The true cause of death vanishes from the official record. The government's role in the death is erased from the legal certifi-

cation of how a Canadian died.

Health Canada's most recent annual report confirms 76,475 Canadians died by MAiD between legalization in 2016 and the end of 2024. That figure, already one in every twenty deaths in this country, covers only through December 31, 2024. Projections from the Euthanasia Prevention Coalition, tracking provincial data through 2025, place the cumulative total at approximately 90,000. By any reasonable arithmetic,



Canada is approaching 100,000 MAiD killings. Outside British Columbia, the policies governing death certificates in every province and territory that has a policy forbid the truth from appearing on the document.

But how can "depression" or "blindness" or "poverty" be considered a valid cause of death?

Ontario is the most explicit about this, but it is far from alone. Quebec's official guidance states in plain language: "Medical assistance in dying is not a cause of death. The illness or incapacity that led to the request must be entered." Manitoba requires the same outcome without saying so directly. Nova Scotia certifies MAiD deaths as natural deaths, with no mention of MAiD anywhere in its physician guidelines. New Brunswick, Saskatchewan, Newfoundland and Labrador, and PEI have published no clear rule at all, which means no accountability and no consistency for what gets written on the certificate.

This is built into Canada's federal reporting framework, which follows the World Health Organization's classification system. The WHO does not classify MAiD as a cause of death, and Canada has adopted that position wholesale.

One province does it differently. British Columbia

instructs physicians to record MAiD explicitly on the death certificate, with the underlying illness also noted and the manner of death listed as natural. **British Columbia's approach shows that the alternative exists, is workable, and that every other province has actively chosen not to use it.**

Money Matters

In 2020, Canada's Parliamentary Budget Officer calculated that MAiD saves federal and provincial governments more than \$149 million annually. **They know exactly how much each death is worth to the treasury.**

Disability support in New Brunswick pays only \$705 a month. Seventy percent of Canadians who need palliative care cannot access it. **Approval for MAiD moves faster than a referral to a specialist.**

Ask yourself who that system was designed to serve. Ask yourself about the economics of encouraging death.

Christine Gauthier is a decorated Canadian veteran. Her on-duty military injuries left her in a wheelchair. She competed for Canada at the 2016 Paralympics in Rio. In 2022, she testified before a Parliamentary committee that a Veterans Affairs caseworker offered her MAiD in writing—after she applied for a wheelchair ramp for her home:

"I have a letter saying that if you're so desperate, madam, we can offer you MAiD. I can't believe you will give me an injection to help me die, but you will not give me the tools I need to help me live."

Had Gauthier accepted the offered euthanasia, her death certificate would have recorded one word: "Disability." **Nothing about the denial of funding for a ramp. Nothing about the letter offering death. Nothing about the injections that would have killed her.**

Canada also permits organ harvesting from MAiD patients. The practice is legal, growing and profitable.

The same state and medical industry that knows exactly how much each death saves the treasury also benefits from the sale of body organs. The death certificate records neither the injections nor what followed them.

The Parliamentary Budget Officer put a number on the savings of each death. **The same office has never calculated the profit on the sale of the organs—or at least never released that information to Canadians.**

"Non-Consensual Killings"

Ontario's chief coroner flagged 428 compliance issues by 2024: broken safeguards, missing documentation, and potentially non-consensual killings. A quarter of all Ontario MAiD providers received at least one compliance flag in 2023. **Not one was reported to police.**

The falsified death certificate is not a separate problem from the criminal conduct. It is the mechanism that makes the criminal conduct invisible. No injections on the record means no crime on the record.

Consider "Mrs. B." in Ontario. She was elderly, in her eighties. She told her assessors she wanted palliative care. She cited her faith and withdrew her consent for MAiD. The one clinician who wanted to re-interview her before the killing procedure was refused access. That evening, Mrs. B was killed.

Her death certificate records her illness, not her withdrawal of consent. **Not the locked door, not the injections that killed her.** And certainly not the desperation and pleas of an old woman who changed her mind about being killed.

In 2027, MAiD expands to mental illness. **The system that killed Mrs. B without her consent is about to be handed a category with no defined limits and no reliable way to establish consent at all.**

Under the Criminal Code, MAiD providers are exempt from homicide charges only if consent requirements are met. Mrs. B withdrew her consent. The exemption did not apply. The Criminal Code has another word for what was done to her...

Murder.

References available at Druthers.ca

Donald Best is a former Toronto Police Sergeant (Detective), Investigative Journalist, Sole Recipient of the 2018 Ontario Civil Liberties Award, and Director at GrusJusticeProject.org

Two Important Campaigns

Continued from p.4

ally. Our work at the NHPPA is to spread the message of hope.

The NHPPA currently has two separate but equally important campaigns that we need your help with.

First, **Bill C-224.** This bill has just successfully passed the second reading and is now before the Standing Committee on Health. C-224 repeals the changes that were quietly added to the 2023 Budget Bill. These changes included putting NHPs into the Therapeutic Drug Model and imposing fines of up to five million dollars a day for sharing truthful health information.²

We need your help with phone calls, e-letters, and letters to inform the Standing Committee on Health that we want the 2023 changes repealed. We also encourage individuals, professionals, and organizations to submit written briefs to the Committee.³

This second campaign is the solution: **Enact The Charter of Health Freedom.**⁴

The Charter of Health Freedom:

- Codifies the health rights Courts have said that we have;
- Obligates government to respect our health rights;
- Protects our access to natural remedies by moving them out of the drug category.

On March 12, the third-largest paper petition in Canadian history was tabled in Parliament. This petition urges the Government to enact the Charter of Health Freedom. Because of the size of the petition, Health Freedom. Because of the size of the petition, the Government will be obligated to issue an official response.

This is where we need your help. This campaign

aims to educate the Liberal Government on why enacting the Charter of Health Freedom is essential for all Canadians. It will involve e-letters, physical letters, phone calls, and meetings with MPs.⁵

Please subscribe to our newsletter at nhppa.org and follow us on all our social media platforms. Sign up to be a volunteer. Consider supporting us financially, as we are a very small group and depend on individuals to help.

For those reading that are thinking, why bother, the system is broken and it will not change anything, I suggest that you reframe your thinking. **This is about shining the flashlight so brightly that people cannot fail to see things differently.**

Either Parliament gives us health freedom, or it will be apparent that our system is broken and we must have change. This has been my experience in waking people up over the past five years.

With the Great Awakening ultimately comes strength and true freedom of thought. Remember, if the system does not respond to its people in this case, then more of us will wake up.

Just like I did six years ago, they will then have a **Flashlight Event.**

1. nhppa.org/health-charter-tour
2. nhppa.org/bill224
3. nhppa.org/bill-c-224-briefs
4. charterofhealthfreedom.org
5. nhppa.org/cohf

Teresa Buckley is the Communications Director of the Natural Health Product Protection Association

The Great Deception

How Sovereignty Was Replaced by a System of Hidden Control

By White Wolf

The government of Canada is a political organization based on a **multi-level fraud**. To understand the present tyranny, one must first realize that the country of Canada, as a sovereign entity, does not truly exist.

While the United States famously attempted to break free from the British Crown in 1776, Canada was fashioned through a paradoxical act of the British Parliament known as the British North America Act. This legislation declared a nation independent while simultaneously keeping it **subservient to the interests of the Empire**.

It represented a brilliant move of divide and conquer, splitting potential revolutionaries and ensuring that the ultimate power positions remained firmly in the hands of the Crown through the office of the Governor General.

For over 150 years, the Canadian people have been kept in a state of ignorance, fed a veneer of parliamentary democracy while being ruled by a system that requires **royal assent for every single federal law**.

This structure perpetuates a hidden continuity of imperial control, where apparent self-governance masks deeper allegiances that prioritize external interests over the will of the populace. **Global priorities are serviced while local interests are ignored by the government.**

Citizens participate in elections and debates, yet the foundational architecture ensures that **true autonomy remains elusive**, a carefully engineered illusion designed to maintain stability for those at the apex of power.

This deception extends far beyond Canadian borders and has historically permeated the United States as well. Although the American people fought a bloody battle for their birthright of freedom, the **British Empire covertly regained control through political proxies and institutional maneuvering**.

The true visionaries who held the keys to genuine liberty perished in significant numbers during the Revolutionary War, leaving a vacuum that allowed for the gradual erosion of the revolutionary spirit. **The original iteration of the United States was a confederacy rooted in voluntarism and maximum state autonomy**, where individual colonies retained substantial independence and the federal authority remained limited by design.

However, after the war, the British Crown quietly imposed a republic that consolidated power into a centralized federal government, a control structure that steadily expanded over time. This new structure was often led by a political upper class that maintained British interests, even in matters of culture, accent, and economic orientation.

What appeared as victory on the battlefield transformed into a **subtle reconquest through legal and financial instruments**, embedding monarchical influences within republican forms.

Over generations, this shift diminished the decentralized ethos that defined the early American experiment, replacing it with mechanisms that favoured **elite coordination over popular sovereignty**. The result has been a **persistent tension between the ideals of liberty proclaimed in the founding documents and the practical realities of governance** that echo imperial precedents. Even that pretense was dropped during the Civil War of 1860, when 3 Service corporations covertly replaced the Republic.

Natural Law and Censorship

At the heart of this ongoing subversion lies the removal of foundational shields rooted in faith and **natural law**. In Canada, this deception has reached a crescendo point with the **alteration of the King's oath to protect the Christian faith**.¹

Propagandists frame this change as an embrace of inclusion and modernity, yet it functions as a **calculated move to strip away moral anchors** historically tied to natural law.

The essential premise of natural law, harm no one, was expanded by classical intellectuals into three uni-

versal guidelines: **do not harm others specifically, do not harm their property, and honour your commitments or word**.

These principles provided a timeless ethical framework independent of state decree, offering individuals a basis for judgment and resistance against arbitrary authority. By diminishing these anchors, the state paves the way for the imposition of Globalist Policies allegedly stemming from so-called the Rules-Based Order (woke Marxist ideology steeped in moral relativ-



ism), where **right and wrong become fluid constructs** dictated by prevailing political narratives rather than objective standards.

This transition erodes the capacity for citizens to evaluate governance against fixed moral criteria, rendering the people more susceptible to manipulation.

The preemptive removal of the King's oath serves a strategic purpose, ensuring that when the state inevitably violates individual rights, no one can credibly claim a breach of sacred duty. It severs the symbolic and legal link between authority and moral obligation, **freeing power structures from accountability to higher principles**.

Compounding this erosion is the rise of draconian censorship, the proposed Bill C9 (currently awaiting Senate approval), which specifically allows the designation of any group or symbol of that group to be banned as hate speech.

This planned reorientation seeks to protect an illegitimate power structure by implementing frameworks such as the Bill C9 censorship laws (that will protect woke ideologies and punish their enemy which is the truth in general), which establish a **two-tiered system of justice**.

Under this arrangement, the powerful enjoy shields from full accountability while the masses face stricter scrutiny, with **stating the truth becoming a criminal offence**.

Artificial shields will proliferate in public discourse, with mercurial terms like "hate speech" and "hate groups" not merely as descriptors of prejudice, but as mechanisms to **deflect criticism and suppress dissent**.

The false claim of an effort to curb hate escalates into a broader apparatus for broadly suppressing dissent, where factual statements become prosecutable if they challenge official narratives. This dynamic inverts objective justice, **prioritizing subjectivity and empowering the state** as the ultimate arbiter of acceptable speech.

Government bureaucrats' track record proves they abuse any power they have, without accountability, why would they change course?

The multifaceted deception ultimately aims to exhaust the energy of ordinary people by drawing them into the ruse called politics.

This system was never intended to alter the underlying architecture of power but rather to channel discontent into managed outlets that preserve the status quo. Citizens invest time, emotion, and resources in electoral cycles, policy debates, and partisan conflicts, only to discover that **fundamental shifts in sovereignty or natural rights remain untouched**.

The Illusion of Power

The governing apparatus positions itself as the sole authority capable of defining what constitutes the real. This process destroys the concept of natural law in the

public mind, replacing it with **state-sanctioned relativism that maximizes control**.

Evil advances not through overt conquest alone but through the cultivation of fear among those who might otherwise speak plainly. Individuals who recognize the fraud hesitate, aware that voicing such observations risks social ostracism, legal repercussions, or economic ruin.

The path to true freedom thus remains obscured behind layers of **sophisticated, centuries-old deception**, where apparent progress masks regression toward centralized control dressed in progressive garb.

Throughout history, empires have refined their methods of dominance, evolving from crude military occupation to intricate psychological and institutional governance.

In Canada, the persistence of Crown-derived mechanisms reveals a continuity that defies surface-level national myths. The **British North America Act** did not sever ties but reconfigured them into a more sustainable form, one that allowed local administration while reserving **ultimate veto and symbolic authority**.

Natural law, once a cornerstone of Western legal and philosophical traditions, offered a bulwark against such encroachments. Its emphasis on **non-aggression, property**

rights, and fidelity to promises aligned human conduct with observable realities of human flourishing.

Intellectuals from antiquity through the Enlightenment articulated these ideas with clarity, providing generations with tools to critique tyranny. The deliberate displacement of this framework by relativistic doctrines serves to **atomize society and weaken collective resistance**.

When morality becomes subjective and group-based, solidarity fractures along identity lines engineered for division. Protected classes emerge not from genuine vulnerability but from strategic alliances that shield power.

The invocation of historical grievances or minority status diverts from present-day actions, perpetuating cycles of unaccountability. Legislation that criminalizes offences effectively inverts the burden of proof, placing it upon truth-tellers rather than actors whose deeds warrant scrutiny.

This inversion accelerates the consolidation of authority, as fear silences potential opposition before it coalesces.

The trajectory towards, local enforcement of globalist policies, envisions a world where ancient lineages, financial dynasties, and technological overlords converge to administer a global order.

Borders may dissolve in rhetoric, yet control intensifies through supranational institutions and digital identification systems. Globalist principles, reinterpreted for modern application, provide a universalist legal overlay that privileges certain hierarchies while subjecting the majority to uniform codes.

This duality ensures stability for the apex while managing the base through **perpetual crisis and moral confusion**.

Citizens, distracted by political theatre, fail to perceive the erosion of their inherent rights until remedies become structurally impossible. The great deception thrives on this exhaustion, where participation legitimizes the fraud and withdrawal invites marginalization.

Breaking the illusion demands recognition of these patterns, a reclamation of **natural law principles**, and a refusal to accept relativism as progress.

Only through such awakening can the veil lift, revealing pathways to authentic sovereignty grounded in truth rather than inherited subterfuge. The challenge lies not in revolution for its own sake but in restoring the moral and legal foundations that prevent power from devolving into unchecked dominance.

As layers of deception accumulate, the imperative grows to pierce them with **unflinching examination**, lest humanity forfeit the hard-won insights of prior ages to a sophisticated machinery of control.

1. telegraph.co.uk/royal-family/2023/05/05/canada-king-defender-faith-charles-title-coronation

Read more by White Wolf on prosepma.ca/forum

The Race to a Green New World

By Bantam Joe | BantamJoe.com

If you want to understand how the world is moving from the Iran war to a green economy, **stop thinking about it as a clean climate story. It was not. It was a crisis story.** The war with Iran, the disruption around the Strait of Hormuz, the attacks on the world's oil and gas fields, the shock to oil and gas markets, the fear of long-term supply insecurity, and the political use of climate targets all fused into one new model of control. The public story was resilience, Net Zero, emissions reduction, and energy security. **The darker reading is that a frightened world was pushed into a tightly managed system** where energy, trade, finance, identity, and even personal behaviour could be monitored and directed through one growing infrastructure stack.

The Iran war hit the weak point of the old world. **The Strait of Hormuz is one of the most important energy chokepoints on Earth,** and the March 2026 crisis showed exactly why. Reporting this week described severe disruption to oil and liquid natural gas (LNG) flows, major risk to Gulf exports, and price spikes rippling through Europe, Asia, and beyond. In plain terms, **the modern world was reminded that a huge part of its daily life still depends on fuel moving through a narrow, vulnerable corridor.** When that corridor is threatened, everything shakes: shipping, electricity, fertilizer, food, inflation, industrial production, and political stability.

That is why the war became bigger than war. **It became the proof used by globalists, climate officials, governments, and planners to argue that fossil-fuel dependence had become not just dirty, but dangerous.** Reuters reported that the U.N. climate chief explicitly called the Iran war "an abject lesson" in fossil-fuel dependence and argued that countries should accelerate their exit from oil and gas. **The message was simple: as long as nations rely on imported fossil fuels moving through unstable regions, they remain vulnerable to blackmail, price shocks, and strategic chaos.**

That is where greenhouse gas reduction and Net Zero moved from moral language to emergency language. Before, carbon reduction was often sold to the public as an environmental duty. **After the Hormuz shock, it was sold as survival.** CO2 emissions were no longer framed only as a long-term climate problem. **They were tied directly to war, fuel insecurity, inflation, and national weakness.** The same policy language kept returning: cut greenhouse gas emissions, reduce exposure to fossil fuels, electrify everything possible, expand renewable energy, build storage, strengthen grids, and hit Net Zero targets faster. The European Commission's REPowerEU framework already ties the green transition directly to energy independence and security of supply, and the EU's hydrogen strategy openly describes renewable hydrogen as a key part of the transition to net zero.

This is where the cynical thinking begins. **Because once CO2 reduction is fused with wartime energy insecurity, every green policy becomes easier to sell.** Smart grids stop sounding like control and start sounding like protection. Carbon accounting stops sounding intrusive and starts sounding responsible. Net Zero stops sounding like long-range planning and starts sounding like the only rational response to a burning region and collapsing old-energy assumptions.

The public hears: cleaner air, lower emissions, greater security. The system hears: more measurable energy use, more digital management, more dependence on centralized infrastructure. That is the heart of the transformation. The world did not just "go green." It rushed into a more governable form.

To understand the physical shape of that new form,

you have to understand IMEC. Officially, the India-Middle East-Europe Economic Corridor is a connectivity project linking India to Europe through Gulf and Mediterranean nodes. **But it is not just a shipping lane.** The Atlantic Council's 2025 report describes



IMEC as a multimodal corridor with three pillars: transportation, energy, and digital connectivity. That means ports and rail on one side, but also electricity links, hydrogen flows, fibre, and digital infrastructure on the other. **In other words, it is not merely a trade route. It is a route where goods, energy, and data move together through a single designed system.**

That is what makes IMEC so important to this story. **If the old world ran on open-ended fossil trade, the new world runs on managed corridors.** A corridor like IMEC is attractive because it does more than move containers. It can anchor future green-energy trade, especially hydrogen, along with cross-border digital infrastructure and high-speed logistics. The EU's official hydrogen page says REPowerEU aims for 10 million tonnes of domestic renewable hydrogen production and 10 million tonnes of imports by 2030.

That means the green transition is not only about solar panels and wind turbines at home. **It is also about building new energy corridors that move low-carbon power and fuels across regions under structured control.**

This is why IMEC fits so neatly into the post-Iran-war picture. **The war discredited the old oil map. IMEC and similar projects represent a new map:** one built not just around oil tankers, but around rail, ports, electricity, hydrogen, subsea cables, and data coordination. The Atlantic Council report explicitly says IMEC is meant to expand energy and digital connectivity on top of its transport backbone. **That is the green economy in its infrastructure phase.** Not a slogan. A corridor. Not just a moral campaign. A rerouting of how continents exchange goods, energy, and information.

But the war also exposed IMEC's central weakness. If a major corridor still depends on Gulf stability and routes connected to Hormuz, then Hormuz remains the pressure point. **That is the irony.** The very crisis used to justify faster transition and alternate corridor-building also proved that chokepoints still decide history. This is why the Iran war did not simply "speed up climate action." **It gave corridor planners, green industrial strategists, and transnational institutions the perfect justification to redesign trade and energy flows using the language of resilience.** The route had to be hardened. The energy mix had to be changed. The data systems had to be integrated. **The infrastructure had to become smarter, faster, more controllable.**

Now add "climate change" to the story. Heatwaves, flooding, crop stress, environmental destruction, insurance losses, and pollution from war all deepen the same public mood: **the sense that the old model is breaking at every level.** The Guardian has reported on severe environmental damage from the current Iran conflict, including attacks on refineries and gas fields,

toxic releases, and escalating ecological harm. In political terms, this kind of damage strengthens the argument that fossil infrastructure is not only carbon-intensive but also physically catastrophic when war comes to it. **The greenhouse gas argument and the war-risk argument begin to merge.**

Oil and gas are cast as both climate liabilities and security liabilities.

That merger gives institutions a ready-made roadmap. The UN's 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) already provide the broad language of sustainable development, resilience, and coordinated action. The WHO's 2025 Pandemic Agreement and amended health rules extend the principle of global coordination in another domain: emergency governance. The result is a world in which health, climate, energy, and trade are increasingly discussed through the same logic:

- shared standards
- more surveillance
- more coordination
- cross-border crises require cross-border systems
- less tolerance for local resistance or fragmented policy

The official story is that no country can solve these problems alone. **In reality, every crisis becomes an excuse to move power upward into institutions and networks insulated from direct public control.**

So when people ask how the world went from the Iran war to a green economy, the answer is not that war magically created solar panels. **The answer is that war destroyed confidence in the fossil system at exactly the moment when climate goals, Net Zero targets, emissions frameworks, and digital-governance models were already in place.**

The crisis did not invent the plan. **It made the plan politically irresistible.** Once oil and gas looked unstable, CO2 reduction looked practical. Once climate disruption intensified, green industrial policy looked urgent. Once both stories merged, governments could justify grid expansion, electrification, carbon accounting, green-hydrogen corridors, and AI-managed infrastructure not as ideology, but as common sense.

And that is where the darker part of the story begins. Because the green economy that emerges from this kind of crisis is not just cleaner. **It is more measurable, more digital, more centralized, more dependent on live infrastructure, and more dependent on compliance systems.**

An oil world can be disrupted at the chokepoint. **An electrified world can be managed at the grid, the meter, the credential, the payment rail, the carbon ledger, and the corridor node.** Supporters call that efficiency. Critics call it a new kind of soft rule. Either way, the direction is the same: **from fossil-fuel chaos toward a world where greenhouse-gas reduction, Net Zero, trade corridors, and digital governance are all tied together.**

COVID taught governments how to rule through fear and emergency systems.

The Iran war and the Strait of Hormuz taught the world that fossil dependence was a strategic weakness.

Climate change gave the transition its moral urgency.

CO2 reduction and Net Zero gave it its official mission.

IMEC and similar corridors gave it a physical map.

And the institutions already in place supplied the language, funding, standards, and coordination to turn crisis into structure.

What emerged was not just a greener economy. It was a UN Green World Order.

Originally published at bantamjoe.com

Advertisements

The only civil liberties organization to call for an end to harmful and unscientific Covid lockdowns.



Justice Centre
for Constitutional Freedoms

X @jccfcanada

www.jccf.ca

Invest In Your Pets' Health Naturally!
Supplements That Will Make Them Thrive4Life!



www.thrive4lifepetfood.com

CHP
CANADA
CHRISTIAN HERITAGE
PARTY OF CANADA

A political party
dedicated to:

- LIFE!
- FAMILY!
- FREEDOM!

CHP.ca



Choosing Analog in a Digital World

By Kellie Gibson

In a time when nearly every aspect of daily life is moving toward digital systems—banking, government services, identification, and even basic transactions—it can feel as though there is no longer a choice in how we can participate. **But there is.**

Recently, I made a formal request to conduct my affairs using analog methods where possible—paper correspondence, non-digital verification, and traditional financial tools. I did not approach this emotionally or ideologically. I approached it methodically, with documentation, clarity, and a respectful tone. **And the request was accepted!** That outcome matters—not just for me, but for anyone who has quietly wondered whether they still have a say in how they interact with institutions.

What This Means in Practical Terms

Choosing an analog approach does not mean stepping out of modern life entirely. It simply means being intentional about how you participate. In my case, this includes:

- Using cash freely for day-to-day purchases
- Maintaining debit and credit cards, but without tap functionality
- Requesting paper correspondence where available
- Avoiding unnecessary digital verification processes

There is a misconception that opting out of certain digital conveniences means opting out of society. **That has not been my experience.** What it requires instead is awareness, consistency, and a willingness to communicate your preferences clearly.

Why This Matters

For many, digital systems offer efficiency and ease. **But convenience is not the same as necessity.** Paper records, physical transactions, and direct communication have long provided individuals with a degree of independence and control over their personal affairs. **These systems are not outdated—they are founda-**

- **A respectful and measured tone throughout**
There were no demands, no assumptions—simply a well-documented request for accommodation within existing systems. **And it was recognized.**

A Quiet Option That Still Exists

Many people assume that once systems shift in a certain direction, there is no way to navigate them differently. **That is not always the case.** There are often existing pathways—not widely advertised, and not always convenient—but still available to those who take the time to understand and use them. **This is one example of that.**

Final Thoughts

I am not suggesting that everyone should adopt an analog approach. Each person will make decisions based on their own circumstances and comfort level. **What I am suggesting is that options may still exist—even when they are not immediately visible.** And sometimes, all it takes to access them is a clear request, grounded in reason and delivered with care. If you have been

considering this, know that **it is possible. Not effortless. Not instantaneous. But it is possible.**

While I know some love the convenience, I felt it was a trap, at least for me it was. **Now I feel as if I have my control back;** I can use cash and maintain some anonymity. If you care to join me, don't hesitate to enquire further! God bless.

Kellie Gibson is a former RCMP communications specialist and HR consultant.



tional. Maintaining the option to use them is, in my view, part of maintaining balance. **This is not about rejecting technology. It is about preserving choice.**

What Made the Difference

If there is one takeaway from this experience, it is this: **how you present your request matters.** The process was not complicated, but it was deliberate. Key elements included:

- Clear written communication outlining exactly what I was requesting
- A consistent approach across all correspondence
- Supporting documentation where appropriate

The Changing Face of Veterinary Care

By Lucy Jabrayan | thrive4lifepetfood.com

The trajectory of the veterinary climate is changing drastically—and, as a whole, not for the better.

With the rising cost of care and more and more vet clinics being purchased by American and global corporations, it's no small trend—indeed, one of the biggest structural shifts in the industry right now. Over 20% of vet clinics in Canada are now corporately owned. Before 2010, almost all were independent—a massive shift in a short time.

What this means for pet owners is rushed appointments, confusion from conflicting information, and, because veterinarians simply don't have the time to build deep relationships, a growing sense of being just a number—not heard or seen.

The relationship and role of our pets have also changed. They are becoming more and more like family, and we now live in an era where dogs and cats are humanized. When you love them like family, you treat them like family too.

This is where and how we must invest in our pets—starting with preventative care. More and more pet guardians are turning to preventive health measures for their pets.

It is up to us to take preventive measures, such as choosing a high-quality diet, adding one, two, or three supplements (this isn't "spending" money—it's investing in your pet's future), and exploring natural alternatives rather than automatically going the conventional route.

Another way to invest in preventive care is simply by saying "No, thank you" to certain practices in the veterinary pharmaceutical industry, including inject-

able flea and tick medications and newer RNA vaccine technologies now available in North America—and, eventually, mRNA for pets.

The issue I have with these newer pharmaceutical technologies is that there are no real long-term health and safety studies, and no studies showing long-term effects with multiple doses. What that means for pet owners is that their pets become the unfortunate guinea pigs being tested on.

Investing in relationships is just as important.

the biggest relationship you will have to invest in when it comes to your pet's health, whether you see your vet once a year or once every five years.

The major shift is that more and more pet guardians are turning to natural, holistic alternatives—with great success. It's no secret that the modern-day pet guardian is acquiring more knowledge and education for their pets' preventive health care. More and more holistic veterinarians and natural pet health advocates are speaking out against certain standardized procedures and offering guidance on navigating "the system."


Pet guardians want to choose vets who will work with them, not against them. They should feel comfortable speaking freely about topics that matter to them.

Vets should always put the pet first. The concern is that as clinics are continually bought up by these large corporations, they will have their own systems and protocols in place, which can mean that your dog and cat may ultimately become just a number. If anything, pay close attention to how you feel as you leave your vet's office.

Whenever I visit my veterinarian's office, I thoroughly enjoy our conversations, and there is room for a collaborative decision-making process for my pets. **A relationship built on pressure or fear will never work.**

I walk away with peace and knowledge, and I encourage others to find a professional relationship like that—because that's the way it should be.

Lucy Jabrayan is a holistic pet wellness expert and entrepreneur, and the founder of Thrive4Life Holistic Pet Food. She formulates professional supplements and writes on preventive pet health.



Build your pet health community—where you purchase your pet's health items, such as food and supplements, your vet, and other health sectors such as chiropractic care and hydrotherapy.

When it comes to searching for a vet, try to look for independently owned clinics—someone you can trust, who will take the time with you, and who treats you and your furry loved ones with care. Ultimately, this is

Lucy Jabrayan is a holistic pet wellness expert and entrepreneur, and the founder of Thrive4Life Holistic Pet Food. She formulates professional supplements and writes on preventive pet health.

Don't Railroad Us

High-Speed Rail, Expropriation, and Rural Land Rights



By Stan McDonald

The federal government has announced plans for a high-speed rail corridor linking Quebec City to Toronto, promoted as a "nation-building" project. Behind the glossy language lies a harder legal reality: to build this corridor, governments and railway companies will likely rely on **extraordinary expropriation powers** to force the transfer of large tracts of privately owned rural and agricultural land. If they can do this to farmers and rural landowners today, they can do it to suburban homeowners tomorrow.

This isn't just about trains. It's about turning a transport plan into a forced land-assembly project—a massive land grab. Under Canadian law, expropriation is supposed to be exceptional: the state may take property only for a genuine "public work or other public purpose," following lawful procedures and with just compensation. Courts have long held that **expropriation laws must be strictly construed against the government** because taking someone's land is one of the most serious intrusions the state can make.

This article links the high-speed rail proposal to those legal principles and highlights what rural landowners must insist on before any stakes go in the ground.

Is This About Trains or Land?

Federal law allows the Crown to expropriate land for a "public work or other public purpose." Railway legislation also lets a railway company that "requires an interest in land" and cannot buy it to ask the federal minister to have the Crown expropriate that land on its behalf. Once the minister decides the land is "required" for the railway, it is treated as if the Crown needs it for a public work.

In other words, a commercial railway can exercise public expropriation powers as long as the project is dressed up as a "public work." **The basic rule is simple: government needs clear, express authority to take property; there is no implied power to seize land merely because it would be convenient.**

Key questions for this scheme include:

Is it truly a public work, or mainly a commercial venture that benefits specific corporate interests?

Has government proven that the specific parcels it wants are necessary, and not just "nice to have"?

Are there less intrusive alternatives, like using or improving existing corridors?

If those questions are not honestly answered, the "public purpose" requirement is being stretched beyond breaking.

Ontario's Process and Shrinking Protections

In Ontario, the Expropriations Act sets out how land can be taken for provincial undertakings or when provincial bodies assist federal projects. Land vests in the expropriating authority only when a formal plan of expropriation is registered in the land registry and all required steps—notice, approvals, and so on—have been followed. **If those steps are not followed exactly, the taking is unlawful.**

The Act also allows a "hearing of necessity," in which the authority must show that the taking is fair, sound, and reasonably necessary, that no more land than needed is taken, and that alternatives have been considered. This hearing focuses on necessity, not compensation. It is not automatic; owners must request it in writing within strict deadlines. **If landowners do not push for this hearing, a central safeguard is effectively lost.** Recent Ontario changes have narrowed the circumstances in which these hearings

are available for major transit and infrastructure projects, making it even more important for landowners to demand them wherever they still apply.

Many expropriation programs quietly rely on the fact that most people do not know these rights exist.

Planning Blight and De Facto Expropriation

The high-speed rail corridor is under discussion on a decades-long timeline. Over those years, land can be trapped in "planning blight": it appears on route maps, is subject to corridor-protection policies, or is informally treated by banks and officials as "already spoken for," even if no formal expropriation has occurred.

Canada's top court has confirmed that "de facto expropriation"—expropriation in practice, even if not on paper—can occur where the state effectively gains an advantage from the property and the owner is left with no reasonable or economic use of the land. In a high-speed rail context, this happens when corridor plans and rules make it impossible to expand barns, add drainage, subdivide, build, or refinance because the land lies under the shadow of a future rail line.

You may still hold the deed and pay the taxes, but if government decisions effectively decide that your land's real function is to be a future rail corridor, the law may regard that as expropriation in everything but name.

A Thin Strip Can Destroy A Whole Farm

High-speed rail demands wide corridors, buffers, fencing, electrical infrastructure, and permanent maintenance access. Even when the authority takes only a strip on paper, the real-world impact can be far greater:

- Fields severed into awkward, inefficient parcels
- Machinery routes cut, forcing long detours or dangerous road movements
- Livestock movement between pastures blocked or complicated
- Drainage and tile systems cut, increasing flooding and erosion

On paper, you "only lost 10 acres." In reality, you may have lost a functioning farm, a family operation, and generations of work.

Picture a 300-acre mixed cash-crop farm in eastern Ontario. The corridor cuts diagonally across the farm. Your best tiled field is split in two. The main lane to your back fields is gone, replaced by a narrow, steep underpass that big equipment can barely use. In reality, you lost a functioning farm.

Ontario's expropriation legislation recognizes "injurious affection": compensation for damage to the rest of your property, not just the strip taken. In simple terms, if the project reduces the value, usefulness, or income-earning power of the land you still own, you may have a claim. In practice, offers often focus on the strip's raw value and ignore the loss of a coherent, efficient operation.

Compensation is supposed to put you, as nearly as money can, where you were before. Paying for a few acres while leaving behind a fractured, less viable farm does not meet that standard.

Crossings, Access, and Hidden Harms

High-speed rail systems allow very few level crossings because of speed and safety. If your farm is split by the corridor, you may depend on overpasses or underpasses to move equipment, workers, and animals. The location and design of these crossings will determine whether you can farm the other side.

If crossings are promised but not guaranteed by law or registered on title, they can later be restricted or removed. Where possible, access rights should be

tied to the property itself, not just to individual owners, so they survive changes in ownership or policy.

A fenced, electrified corridor—often with high barriers and limited crossing points—can become a hard divide across the landscape. It can create dead-end roads, disrupt traffic patterns, and slow emergency response for fire, medical, or police services. It can also cut off traditional access routes during floods or fires and permanently alter drainage patterns.

Often, these harms appear years after construction, when project teams have moved on.

Environmental and Long-Term Impacts

Beyond property lines, the impacts can extend across entire ecosystems. Corridors can fragment wildlife habitats, disrupt migratory paths, and permanently alter natural landscapes. Changes to drainage can affect rivers, lakes, and underground water systems. **Once built, these effects are often irreversible.**

At the same time, rural areas bear the burden of operational disruption, long-term land-use constraints, financing uncertainty, succession challenges, and the broader social and mental strain that comes with prolonged uncertainty over land and livelihood.

Consultation: A Real Say or Box-Ticking?

Consultation processes are often presented as opportunities for input, but landowners should ask whether decisions have already been made in substance. **Information sessions that present a fixed plan and invite comments on minor details are not meaningful consultation.** Without early and genuine input, the only remaining disputes may be about compensation—not whether the project proceeds or how it is designed.

Warning Signs: Start a Paper Trail

Your bank questions loans or refinancing because of the corridor.

Officials say your land is "within the study area" and discourage improvements.

You are told to "wait" on building, expanding, or selling because of potential rail plans.

Government staff or consultants request access to your land for surveys or studies.

You receive any letter, map, or notice referencing your property and the corridor.

These are signals to start documenting everything.

What You Can Do To Oppose This Project

This project will not be stopped by quiet grumbling. **It will be stopped, slowed, or reshaped only if people organize and use every legal and political tool available:**

- Get informed and organized locally
- Do not sign under pressure
- Insist on formal process and hearings
- Build a paper trail
- Raise the political cost
- Plan for the long haul

If Canada wants fast trains for city commuters, it must stop treating rural landowners as expendable track ballast. The law still offers tools to push back—but they must be used before a single farm is carved up.

Stan McDonald is a Canadian entrepreneur and metalworker focused on legal reform, public discourse, and property rights. He writes on sovereignty, government accountability, and small business solutions.

Floor Crossers Who Do They Represent Now?

By Jim Canie

The Mark Carney-led Liberal government has secured a majority following recent by-election victories and a series of opposition MPs crossing the floor, **prompting questions about how and why those decisions were made.**

Poaching MPs from the opposition Conservative Party appears to have been a key part of that process. They also convinced one NDP member to cross the floor.

It started in November 2025, when Conservative MP Chris d'Entremont crossed the floor to join the Liberals, followed by fellow Conservatives Michael Ma in December 2025 and Matt Jeneroux in February 2026.

In March 2026, former NDP MP Lori Idlout (Nunavut) joined Prime Minister Mark Carney's Liberal caucus. Most recently, in April, Conservative MP Marilyn Gladu (Sarnia-Lambton-Bkejwanong) crossed the floor to join the Liberal Party.

Marilyn Gladu has stated that she did what her "constituents wanted."

Tell that to the 40,597 voters who support her as a member of the Conservative Party. She has been claiming in public interviews that her constituents are supporting her move—but do they?

In her riding of Sarnia-Lambton-Bkejwanong, many are upset and shocked by her decision. **Gladu received 40,597 votes—53.1% of the vote in the last election as a Conservative, winning by over 11,600 votes against her Liberal opponent.**

As reported by Spencer Van Dyk in a CTV News article published April 12, 2026:

"Her decision, though, raised eyebrows, because of her longstanding positions on vaccines, the COVID-19 pandemic, abortion, and LGBTQ2S+ issues."

It raised eyebrows not just in her riding, but across Canada as well.

Marilyn Gladu was one of the loudest Conservative MPs to oppose the Liberal government, publicly criticizing Mark Carney and his policies time and time again. The videos are out there.

For over 14 years, Gladu consistently positioned herself as a staunch Conservative voice, regularly criticizing Liberal policies and leadership—right up until roughly a week or two before crossing the floor.

During her campaign for the leadership of the Conservative Party, she stated in videos that her goal was to become Prime Minister if elected leader.

She also supported and promoted by-elections for MPs who crossed the floor. **Should she now face a by-election of her own?**

What makes a long-time opposing Conservative MP cross the floor, and what factors influence that decision?

Political realities, access to funding, and influence may play a role—but to what extent? **Are incentives involved?**

In relation to former NDP MP Lori Idlout (Nunavut) crossing the floor, questions have also been raised. **What discussions took place, and what considerations led to that decision?**

All of these "floor crossers" ultimately abandoned their elected parties to join the Carney-led Liberals. **What are the benefits? What promises were made? What incentives did they receive?** These are valid questions given the gravity of their actions.

What are the repercussions of their actions, if any?

In her April 3, 2026, piece, *Should Party Switching Be Examined? (Is This Foreign Interference and Treason?)—A Case for Transparency and Public Confidence*, Jane Scharf writes:

"Canada already has laws to address improper influence under the Criminal Code:

- Section 120 – Bribery of officers
- Section 121 – Frauds on the government
- Section 122 – Breach of trust by a public officer

These provisions ensure that public office must be exercised in the public interest—not for private gain or improper pressure."



Image posted to Marilyn Gladu's Facebook page in March 2025.

I recently reached out to Michelle Ferreri, former Peterborough-Kawartha Conservative MP from 2021 to 2025, for her thoughts on the Gladu crossing. Michelle worked closely with Marilyn Gladu during that time. She had this to say:

"Thousands of voters and volunteers put their faith in Marilyn Gladu, and she betrayed them. Thousands of dollars were donated by taxpayers to ensure Marilyn was elected as a Conservative.

Should she have to pay those donors back?

She clearly has no shame to betray her constituents so brazenly. She should have to face the voters in a by-election, but honestly, her lack of integrity is on full display.

For a woman who said she cared so passionately about civil liberties, **her actions on destroying democracy prove otherwise."**

In an April 16, 2026 article titled, "Caught In Potential Criminal Bribery Scandal Over Floor Crossing Pork For Projects," the *Daily Mail* writes:

"Hours after the announcement, Gladu told a local reporter in Sarnia that she had received a call from the office of the Minister of Housing and Infrastructure.

"The purpose of the call was to discuss all the things the riding of Sarnia-Lambton-Bkejwanong needed. She stated that her previous requests for funding had gone nowhere while she remained on the opposition benches.

"She sent a one-page list of projects to the minister after her 2025 re-election. Those projects aligned with his file but produced no results until she switched parties.

"Section 119 of the Criminal Code of Canada addresses bribery of judicial officers and others, including members of Parliament.

"The law states that everyone is guilty of an indict-

able offence and liable to imprisonment for a term not exceeding 14 years who, being the holder of a judicial office or being a member of Parliament or of the legislature of a province, directly or indirectly, corruptly accepts, obtains, agrees to accept, or attempts to obtain for themselves or another person any money, valuable consideration, office, place, or employment in respect of anything done or omitted, or to be done or omitted, by them in their official capacity.

"The same section also makes it an offence for anyone who directly or indirectly, corruptly gives or offers to such a person, or to anyone for the benefit of that person, any money, valuable consideration, office, place, or employment in respect of anything done or omitted, or to be done or omitted, by that person in their official capacity.

"In this situation, the timing raises serious questions under the law. **Gladu herself connected the lack of progress on her riding projects to her status as an opposition member.**

"She noted that it is harder to get funding when not on the government benches. **She observed that after she crossed the floor, the call from the minister's office arrived immediately.** Federal funding for infrastructure, housing, and local projects qualifies as valuable consideration that benefits the riding and the member politically.

"If the prospect or promise of accelerated access to such funds influenced her decision to change her official capacity from opposition critic to government supporter, then the elements of corrupt acceptance or offering could apply."

So where do we go from here?

Will there ever be any repercussions for Mark Carney and his Liberal Party members?

Bribing, coercing, or simply persuading—whatever the terminology may be—**what is actually taking place when MPs are repeatedly drawn to the ruling party, and what does that mean for democratic accountability?**

Does this erode confidence in the democratic process, as many Canadians now believe it does?

Why vote if elected representatives can later turn around and do what's best for themselves and not their constituents?

Marilyn Gladu's decision to leave the Conservatives and join the Liberals has created a huge debate not only in Sarnia but across Canada, with many Canadians expressing frustration and anger.

Many are now pushing that if an MP crosses the floor at any time, they should be forced to face an immediate by-election or resign, as they may no longer serve the constituents who voted them in.

As it stands, an MP can switch parties without going back to their constituents. **Is that acceptable?**

It is not just her Sarnia-Lambton-Bkejwanong riding that feels betrayed. Many Canadians feel that "floor crossing" is unacceptable—**especially when it plays a role in building or maintaining a majority government.**

At the time of writing, there are reports that the Liberal government may be actively courting several more Conservative MPs to cross the floor.

If true, what does that mean for parliamentary accountability—and for whether Canada's democratic system is functioning as intended?

If Canadians can't trust the mandate they vote for, what exactly are they voting for?

For more from Jim Canie, visit [Make Canada Canada Again](https://www.makecanadacanadaagain.com), at facebook.com/share/14aQiz9FBTW

Views of columnists and bylined feature writers as expressed are not necessarily those of DRUTHERS. All source links and references can be found at druthers.ca/articles

Special thanks to all those giving their valuable time to help freely distribute DRUTHERS.

Thank you also to all who have generously donated to make this free newspaper a reality.

Although the paper is FREE, it costs us to produce, print and distribute it. If you appreciate our efforts, please help us print more papers next month by making a donation.

truth · love · peace · freedom
DRUTHERS
Est. 2020

Please donate kindly:
druthers.ca/donate

Or send an e-transfer to:
admin@druthers.net

Cash, cheques & money orders can be made out to and mailed to:
Shawn Jason Laplante
P.O. Box 40531 Six Points Plaza
Toronto, Ontario M9B 6K8

Distributed independently & completely free of charge.

May 2026 circulation: 150,000 copies Canada-wide

Original content DRUTHERS 2026®

Publisher & Editor in Chief: Shawn Jason

Administrator: Christie-Lynn

Editor: Michelle

Layout & Design: Michael Choo-Chong

Ads Manager: Dr. Jeffrey Overall | ads@druthers.net

Extra Special Thanks to: Kristy, Bob, and many more...

Comments & General Inquiries: info@druthers.net

News Tips & Article Submissions: editor@druthers.net

"Please world, be kind to one another. We really are just one big earth family. Thank you. I love you. Keep on passing it on."

— Shawn Jason

The Untold Story of Hydrogen as Medicine

By George Wiseman

What if there was a **simple and proven technology** that you could safely, easily and inexpensively use in your own home to **mitigate nearly every ailment known to mankind?**

What if this technology could **help people live 30% longer** while maintaining health, and increase athletic performance with **zero negative side effects?**

During the COVID-19 pandemic, governments and pharmaceutical companies rushed to develop vaccines. Trillions of dollars flowed into biomedical innovation.

Yet one potential therapy—**hydrogen inhalation**—quietly entered homes and hospital treatment protocols in China, while receiving almost no attention in Western media.

The therapy involves breathing a gas mixture of **hydrogen and oxygen**, usually about **66% hydrogen and 33% oxygen**, commonly called OxyHydrogen, delivered through a nasal cannula connected to a specialized generator.

While unfamiliar to most people, **OxyHydrogen** gas is chemically similar to what knowledgeable engineers call **Brown's Gas**—a specific hydrogen-oxygen gas mixture created by splitting water using electrolysis in specially designed electrolyzers.

Clinical researchers in China began testing OxyHydrogen inhalation therapy as early as 2018. Several studies suggested the therapy might **reduce respiratory distress and inflammation** in patients.

OxyHydrogen ultimately became the #1 treatment for Covid in China, where it helped the country get out of lockdown in two months, not two years.

The Discovery That Started a New Field

Molecular hydrogen (H₂) is the **smallest molecule in the universe**. Because it consists of only two protons and two electrons, it diffuses rapidly through biological membranes and tissues.

For hundreds of years, scientists believed hydrogen was biologically inert.

For scientists, that assumption changed dramatically in 2007.

In that year, Japanese researcher Shigeo Ohsawa and colleagues reported that molecular hydrogen could selectively neutralize harmful **reactive oxygen species (ROS)**—highly reactive molecules associated with inflammation and cellular damage. The study suggested hydrogen might function as a **selective antioxidant**, potentially protecting tissues from oxidative stress.

The discovery triggered an explosion of research. Since then, **thousands of scientific papers** have explored hydrogen therapy for hundreds of ailments.

The theory is simple: by reducing oxidative stress and inflammatory signaling, hydrogen may help protect cells during disease or injury.

Hydrogen is also essential in helping the mitochondria make Adenosine Triphosphate, or ATP—the fuel that cells need to maintain function. Our bodies are 62% hydrogen by volume, and hydrogen is directly or indirectly involved in every chemical process in the body. We need hydrogen to be healthy.

COVID-19—an illness known for causing severe lung inflammation and receiving worldwide attention—**presented an ideal test case.**

Note that other studies proved that **COVID-19 was a blood disease**, NOT primarily a respiratory disease. The respiratory symptoms come in the later stages of the disease.

Observant Doctors and Scientists noted that the illness kicked some of the iron (heme or ferritin) out of the red blood cells, limiting the ability of the blood to accept oxygen, thus blood oxygen levels dropped, and this eventually induced a cytokine storm (severe inflammation) in the lungs.

Brown's Gas is even more effective than OxyHydrogen for treating COVID-19 because it contains bioavailable electrons that give the blood a charge that helps it accept oxygen.

When a COVID-infected person with extremely low blood oxygen levels inhales Brown's Gas, their blood oxygen levels rise within minutes and quickly return to normal, negating the need for ventilation.

In fact, ventilation proved to be the wrong treatment for COVID-19 because the problem wasn't the lungs; it was the blood's inability to accept oxygen. Therefore, pressurizing the lungs did little to increase blood oxygen levels and often damaged the lungs when the pressure was too high. Over 80% of COVID-infected people who were put on ventilators died.

Despite this knowledge, it was extremely profitable to put people on ventilators; hospitals would be paid

\$40,000 per ventilated patient.

China's Hydrogen COVID Trials

When the pandemic struck Wuhan in early 2020, Chinese physicians were already experimenting with hydrogen inhalation as a supportive treatment.

A multicentre **clinical trial across seven Chinese hospitals** evaluated the effects of hydrogen-oxygen inhalation in hospitalized COVID-19 patients suffering from breathing difficulties.

Patients inhaled a mixture of **66% hydrogen and 33% oxygen** using a hydrogen-oxygen generator while receiving standard treatment.

The results were encouraging.

Researchers reported that hydrogen-oxygen inhalation **reduced disease severity and improved dyspnea (shortness of breath)** compared with oxygen therapy alone.

A retrospective hospital study of COVID-19 patients in Hubei province also found that the therapy was associated with **decreases in inflammatory markers, such as C-reactive protein and white blood cell counts, specifically neutrophil counts.**

More recently, a randomized controlled study involving patients infected with the Omicron variant found that hydrogen-oxygen therapy shortened viral shedding time and reduced inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6.

Taken together, the results indicate that hydrogen inhalation helps patients recover from respiratory infections by reducing inflammation and improving breathing.

But despite these public findings, hydrogen therapy never became widely adopted in Western healthcare systems.

Why?

To answer that question, we must look at a growing field of research known as **hydrogen medicine**—and the economic realities of modern healthcare.

The Economics of Medicine

The relative silence around hydrogen medicine raises uncomfortable questions about the economics of modern healthcare.

Most modern drugs are **patentable molecules** developed through expensive research pipelines. Pharmaceutical companies invest billions of dollars into drug development because patents allow them to recoup those investments.

Hydrogen presents a problem.

It is the **most abundant element in the universe**. It cannot be patented. It can be generated from **water and electricity in your own home**. And it can be used without a doctor's prescription.

This means there is little financial incentive for large pharmaceutical companies to develop hydrogen-based treatments and protocols, even though they are proving essential for many people's health!


Instead, research into hydrogen therapy has largely been driven by universities, small medical device companies, and independent researchers.

Some critics argue that promising non-patentable therapies often struggle to gain traction in a system built around proprietary drugs that treat symptoms rather than cure disease. Actually, curing disease is not profitable. Others point out that hydrogen therapy still requires more large-scale clinical trials before it can be considered mainstream medicine.

Both perspectives may contain some truth.

The Rise of Hydrogen as Medicine

1984—Helping hundreds of thousands of people save money and improve their lives—that's why I founded Eagle-Research Incorporated, turning my fuel-saving and energy innovations into a business and openly sharing them through instruction manuals instead of patents.



1986—Brown's Gas Development: I started researching Brown's Gas as a possible "water as fuel" technology, developing and optimizing electrolyzers for increasing fuel mileage (up to 50%) and then developed super-efficient electrolyzers optimized to replace torch fuel gases with Brown's Gas (doing better work at 2% of the cost). Eagle-Research has helped hundreds of thousands of people increase fuel efficiency.

1993—Early Health Experiments: Eagle-Research independently started bubbling Brown's Gas into water and feeding it to plants, resulting in up to 3x faster growth in soil and up to 10x faster growth in hydroponics. Bubbling the Brown's Gas in fish tanks resulted in 3x faster growth.

1996—Anecdotal Evidence: Eagle-Research customers then started using Brown's Gas on themselves for skin conditions like melanoma and drinking Brown's Gas-bubbled water, sending in astonishing testimonials. By 2005, they convinced me to use it myself.

2007—The Scientific Breakthrough: After over a decade of hydrogen being used for health in Asia, Japanese researchers published a landmark study demonstrating hydrogen's antioxidant properties.

2008–2012—Early Expansion: Animal studies show hydrogen gas may protect brain and heart tissue from ischemic injury. Experiments on rodents showed a 30% to 50% increase in healthy lifespan.

2013–2016—Clinical Exploration: Early human trials explore hydrogen therapy in metabolic syndrome, neurological disease and hundreds of ailments.

2017–2019—Hydrogen Inhalation Devices: Hospitals in Asia begin testing hydrogen-oxygen inhalation systems for respiratory conditions. Asia is now far ahead of the West in hydrogen medicine.

2020—COVID-19 Pandemic: Chinese hospitals launch multicenter trials testing hydrogen-oxygen inhalation in COVID-19 patients. OxyHydrogen becomes #1 on the Chinese Covid treatment list.

2021–2022—Expanded Studies: Researchers examine hydrogen therapy for inflammatory lung injury and post-COVID recovery. Hundreds of studies on hydrogen for health have been done.

2023—Randomized Omicron Trial: A controlled trial reports improved recovery trends in patients receiving hydrogen-oxygen inhalation therapy. The list of hydrogen-for-health studies exceeds 2,000.

2024–2025—Continued Research: Hydrogen-for-health research expands to mitigate virtually every disease, support sports recovery, and address aging biology. It's used in Japanese Spas for health and beauty.

The Future of Hydrogen Medicine

Although Hydrogen therapy has been around for decades, it is still an emerging field that you can (unofficially) do now in your own home.

In addition to the thousands of studies already done, dozens of ongoing clinical trials are exploring hydrogen inhalation or hydrogen-rich water in conditions ranging from metabolic disease to neurological disorders.

Whether hydrogen therapy ultimately becomes a mainstream treatment or remains a scientific curiosity may depend on public demand. People can choose to implement this health-enhancing technology themselves.

One thing is clear: The smallest molecule in the universe may still hold some very big medical secrets.

References available at Druthers.ca

George Wiseman is an Inventor, president of Eagle-Research, Ltd. and a Brown's Gas expert. For more information, please visit eagle-research.com/q-what-is-browns-gas-bg

Advertisement

A Technology Patented in 1963

...that uses your home's water and electricity to:

1. Increase healthful lifespan by 30%+, **boost energy.**
2. Increase athletic performance, **heal 3x faster.**
3. Help **HEAL** from virtually every ailment known to man.
4. Treat Covid, **#1 choice** in China. **individual results vary**
5. Make Deuterium Depleted Water (DDW) at home.
6. Help plants grow up to **10x FASTER**, etc...

Get your Free eBook er4u.info/4376

Absurdity Observer

Some of the Most Absurd Happenings in Recent Weeks

• Ontario Premier Doug Ford purchased a \$28.9 million taxpayer-funded luxury jet—one that would easily fit how his brother would define a “gravy plane.” While residents were told to brace for tight budgets and strained services, the premier opted for a Bombardier Challenger 650—a high-end executive aircraft typically used by high-net-worth travellers, featuring a spacious stand-up cabin, seating for up to 12 passengers, and upscale interiors with onboard entertainment. After a large public outcry, Ford announced that he “heard loud and clear” and re-sold the jet back to Bombardier for the same price because “I’m the premier that listens to the people.”

• Ontario Premier Doug Ford has passed a bill that effectively blocks access to freedom-of-information requests targeting him and his cabinet, including communications and decision-making records. The changes are retroactive, so those who have waited years for answers will now get nothing.

• Canada’s foreign affairs ministry records reveal the department spent \$59,000 to decorate its consulate in Milan, Italy with “artwork,” *The Canadian Taxpayers Federation* reports.

• Statistics Canada data shows that 120,000 Canadians moved to a foreign country in 2025, 55% of whom were “mid-career professionals in peak-earning years.” The data also shows that doctors, engineers, and scientists are leaving Canada at double the rate of low-skilled workers.

• A whopping \$1.1 billion in Canada Child Benefit payments went to temporary residents in 2025, according to House of Commons data.

• The federal government spent ~\$9.5 million on advertising campaigns in French-speaking countries to promote immigration to Canada, according to figures from the Department of Immigration. The federally funded advertising campaign started in 2022 and focused primarily on African countries.

• Canada’s troubled Vaccine Injury Support Program has been quietly scrapped and rebranded as the Vaccine Impact Assistance Program—a shift that removes the phrase “vaccine injury” from the public lexicon and does little to fix the program’s failures. Launched in 2021 as a “no-fault” system, it has been plagued by years-long delays, poor communication, and stalled or denied claims. Of the \$54 million allocated, roughly two-thirds went to administration, with only ~\$21 million reaching injured individuals.

• A study published in the *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* (Kohler et al.) found that 19 of the world’s major pharmaceutical companies have been implicated in at least one foreign bribery scheme—and not by rogue employees, but with approval from

senior executives and regional directors. Payments were allegedly funneled through subsidiaries, shell companies, and third-party vendors to appear legitimate.

preference for those who also identify as indigenous, “racialized,” or as a woman. In other words, straight, white men need not apply.

• A Canadian Member of Parliament, Leah Gazan, just dropped the longest victim acronym in Canadian history: MMIWG2SLGBTQIA+ (Missing and Murdered Indigenous Women, Girls, Two-Spirit, Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, Questioning, Intersex, Asexual). Gazan dropped this 16-character acronym while addressing parliament about budget cuts to these services.

• The federally funded 2026 Canada Summer Jobs Program is now openly telling employers to prioritize hiring based on race, “2SLGBTQI+” identity, and other “barriers”—while still calling it “equal opportunity.” On job postings tied to the program, applicants are told that “all youth are welcome to apply,” but that employers will prioritize candidates from these designated groups.


• Canada now classifies “deadnaming” (using a transgender person’s birth name) as “gender-based violence,” on Canada.ca’s webpage, classifying the offence as a violation of human rights.

• A study (Dlouhy et al.) measuring NT-proBNP (a marker of heart damage) post-mRNA COVID-19 vaccination in healthy military adults found that 49% of participants exhibited a relative increase of this biomarker exceeding 1.5 times their individual baseline. “This biomarker response may reflect transient myocardial stress [after vaccination]” the researchers wrote.

• Dr. Helmut Sterz, Pfizer’s former chief toxicologist, just admitted to a German Parliamentary Committee that an estimated 60,000 people have died in Germany from Pfizer’s mRNA COVID-19 vaccine.

• Academic Frances Widdowson, known for her work exposing myths around the Kamloops Residential School’s “unmarked graves,” has been pre-emptively banned from the University of Lethbridge, with officials citing a vague “health and safety risk” her presence may pose to students and staff.

• A long-term Finnish study (Ruuska et al.) that tracked nearly 2,100 youth seeking help for gender dysphoria and found something the “affirmation” model isn’t supposed to produce: worse mental health in adolescents who underwent medical gender reassignment. Rates of depression, anxiety, and other psychiatric morbidities surged by 6 times for “male to female” patients and 2.5 times for “female to male” patients. One of the study’s authors, Dr. Riittakerttu Kaltiala, a psychiatrist who founded one of Finland’s two pediatric gender clinics in 2011, has since publicly warned that the model she once advanced can cause harm.



• Toronto psychiatrist, Dr. Celeste Thirlwell, has had her medical licence revoked after Ontario’s physician regulator ruled she issued hundreds of COVID-19 exemption letters (including mask exemptions, PCR test exemptions, and vaccine exemptions) that did not meet its standards.

• The Canadian Media Guild—the union representing CBC employees—is now openly calling for more taxpayer funding while also urging Ottawa to restrict legal online speech it deems “harmful.” Appearing before the Commons heritage committee, the union argued that journalists face an “overwhelming” level of online “toxicity”—and that the answer is government intervention in what Canadians are allowed to say on platforms like Facebook, X, and Instagram.

• The City of Toronto is now using a “Tree Equity Score Analyzer” to decide where trees get planted, factoring in race and income. What was once a straightforward environmental goal, plant trees where they’re needed most, is being reshaped into a demographic formula that effectively deprioritizes some neighbourhoods based on who lives there.

• The University of British Columbia is advertising a job position for its Canada Research Chair in forestry, but the opening is restricted only to applicants who “self-identify as disabled,” with clear

DONATE

HELP US PRINT
EVEN MORE DRUTHERS
next month!

DRUTHERS
A VOICE FOR REAL PROGRESS
REAL NEWS.
REAL PEOPLE.
REAL IMPACT.

Your support helps us reach more readers, spark conversations, and make an impact that matters.

DONATE TODAY!

VOLUNTEER

HELP GIVE OUT DRUTHERS
IN YOUR LOCAL COMMUNITY!

Be the reason Druthers reaches more neighbours.

It only takes a little time to make a big difference.

GET INVOLVED!

SUBSCRIBE

GET DRUTHERS DELIVERED
TO YOUR MAILBOX
each month!

- ✓ NEWS THAT CHALLENGES.
- ✓ IDEAS THAT INSPIRE.
- ✓ DELIVERED RIGHT TO YOUR DOOR.

SUBSCRIBE TODAY!